

Attorney Docket: 2872P-00260
Serial No.: 08/493,486

Proposed Amendment For
Discussion Purposes Only

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated February 13, 1996 wherein the Examiner: (a) rejects Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tanaka et al.; (b) rejects Claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al.; (c) objects to Claim 13 due to an informality present therein; (d) objects to Claims 2-8 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but indicates that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims; and (e) indicates that Claims 11-24 are allowable over the prior art of record. By this response, Applicant amends to Claims 6, 11, 13, and 18 to correct informalities present therein. Applicant also hereby amends Claims 1-3 and, as a result thereof, respectfully requests consideration of Claim 1-10 as amended.

Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the present amendment he should not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (810) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

Date: _____

By: _____
G. Gregory Schivley
Reg. No. 27,382

P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303
(810) 641-1600

GGG/MAD/gmp
Enclosures